

	Full Sample (CTs and NCTs)			RDD (CTs and NCTs)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Positive agricultural yield (Yes =1)	Yields (IHS)	Total inputs used on the plot per hectare (IHS)	Positive agricultural yield (Yes =1)	Yields (IHS)	Total inputs used on the plot per hectare (IHS)
CT in CT villages [A]	0.22** [0.08]	3.36*** [1.18]	1.58*** [0.50]	0.22** [0.10]	3.42** [1.37]	1.65*** [0.62]
NCT in CT villages [B]	0.22** [0.09]	3.49*** [1.20]	1.63*** [0.53]	0.24*** [0.09]	4.03*** [1.33]	1.84*** [0.66]
PET [C]	-0.12 [0.10]	-2.14 [1.39]	-0.12 [0.78]	-0.02 [0.13]	-0.79 [1.82]	-0.38 [0.97]
PEV [D]	-0.26* [0.13]	-4.01** [1.93]	-0.60 [0.67]	-0.08 [0.19]	-2.05 [2.77]	0.44 [1.17]
#HH [E]	-0.05* [0.03]	-0.77* [0.46]	-0.35* [0.20]	-0.01 [0.05]	-0.22 [0.75]	-0.73*** [0.27]
Constant	0.78*** [0.09]	11.00*** [1.22]	0.21 [0.40]	0.70*** [0.09]	10.26*** [1.33]	0.09 [0.54]
Observations	1166	1166	1166	467	467	467
Adjusted R-squared	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.14
Local neighborhood radius (Mts)	400	400	400	400	400	400
Mean Pure Control Endline	0.67	9.31	0.62	0.67	9.57	0.62
CT recipients around (%)	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.40
EVs around (%)	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.30	0.30	0.30
Households around(#)	1.19	1.19	1.19	0.78	0.78	0.78
<i>Elasticities - Adjustment following Bellemare and Wichman (2020)</i>						
CT in CT villages [A]		5.41	3.74		5.08	4.14
NCT in CT villages [B]		7.11	3.97		20.13	5.18
PET[C]		-0.98	-0.06		-0.35	-0.2

Notes: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. EV = extremely vulnerable; CT = cash transfers; NCT = no cash transfers; RDD

(1) Outcome variables are as follows: (1) "Positive agricultural yield" is a binary variable for whether the household achieved a non-zero yield at endline (Yes =1; No=0); (2) "Yields" is the inverse hyperbolic sine (IHS) transformed measure of the value of household agricultural yields on all cultivated land. The point estimates presented in this table require an adjustment to be interpreted as a percentage change following Bellemare and Wichman (2020). (3) "Total inputs used on the plot/ha" is the IHS transformed measure of the value of agricultural inputs (e.g. fertilizers, seeds and labor) used on agricultural land per hectare. The main respondent for the agriculture module was typically the male household head, or female when the male was absent.

(3) Regression uses ANCOVA estimation that controls for the baseline level of the outcome.

(4) All regressions control for location i.e. local government area (LGA) fixed effects and conley standard errors that account for spatial correlation in the data are used (Conley 1999; 2008).

(5) CT in CT villages =1 if household was randomly assigned to receive cash transfers in a cash transfer program village; NCT in CT villages = 1 if household was randomly assigned to receive no cash transfers in program villages; and Pure Control = 1 if household did not receive cash transfers in a non-program village where no cash transfers were ever paid.

(6) We include a set of variables to control for local neighborhood effects that includes the size of the local market (#HH), the density of cash transfers (PET) and the relative level of poverty (PEV) in a 400 meter radius. #HH is the total number of households in the local area rescaled by a factor of 100. PET is a vector for the proportion of cash transfer households in the local area equivalent to the total number of cash transfer households over the number of eligible households around household i in a 400m radius. PEV is the proportion of extremely vulnerable households out of the total number of households in the neighborhood.

(7) Agricultural outcomes are measured at baseline and endline only. Sample is a cross-sectional regression that includes all ultra-poor households surveyed at both midline and endline.

(8) The regression discontinuity (RD) estimation is presented in Table 3 columns 4 to 6 that exploits the sharp discontinuity at the 18 EV cutoff that determined village-level program eligibility to receive cash transfers. We estimate the local average treatment effect (LATE) using only observations close to the cutoff where the bandwidth is defined as +/- 18 EVs around the cutoff.